

## Math 2050, 14 Sep

Using the same trick as before, we can define  $m^{\frac{1}{n}}$  for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  (or more generally the rational power of positive real number):

**Example 0.1.** *There exists  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u > 0$  and  $u^3 = 4$ .*

*Ans.* Let  $S = \{a \in \mathbb{R} : a^3 < 4, a > 0\}$ . Clearly,  $S$  is non-empty as  $1 \in S$ .  $S$  is bounded from above since if  $a \in S$ , then  $a \leq 2$  otherwise  $a \geq 2$  and hence  $a^3 \geq 8 > 4$  which is impossible. So by completeness, there is  $v = \sup S \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, the same argument shows that  $0 < 1 \leq v \leq 2$ .

We claim that  $v^3 = 4$ . If  $v^3 > 4$ , then  $u = v - \varepsilon$  satisfies

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u^3 &= v^3 - 3\varepsilon v^2 + 3\varepsilon^2 v - \varepsilon^3 \\ &> v^3 - 12\varepsilon - \varepsilon^3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we choose  $\varepsilon$  to be a real number such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{1, \frac{v^3-4}{13}\}$ , then  $u^3 > 4$ . Hence,  $u$  is an upper bound of  $S$  by the same reasoning as above which is impossible.

If  $v^3 < 4$ , then let  $u = v + \varepsilon$  so that

$$(0.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u^3 &= v^3 + 3\varepsilon v^2 + 3\varepsilon^2 v + \varepsilon^3 \\ &\leq v^3 + 12\varepsilon + 6\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is chosen to be smaller than  $\min\{1, \frac{4-v^3}{20}\}$ , then  $u^3 < 4$  and hence  $u \in S$  which is impossible.

Therefore,  $v^3 = 4$  which is what we want. □

**Proposition 0.1.** *If  $S$  is non-empty subset which is bounded from below, then*

- (1) *For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sup(a + S)$  exists and equal to  $a + \sup(S)$ ;*
- (2) *For any  $a > 0$ ,  $\sup(aS)$  exists and equal to  $a \cdot \sup S$ .*

*Ans.* (1): Clearly,  $a + S$  is non-empty and bounded from above so that  $\sup(a + S)$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ . By definition, for any  $s \in S$ ,  $a + s \in a + S$  and hence

$$a + s \leq \sup(a + S).$$

Therefore,  $s \leq \sup(a + S) - a$  for all  $s \in S$ . Thus,  $\sup(S) + a \leq \sup(a + S)$ . Similarly, for any  $s \in S$ ,  $\sup(S) \geq s$  and hence

$$a + s \leq \sup(S) + a.$$

Therefore,  $\sup(a + S) \leq \sup(S) + a$ . Combined two inequalities, we are done.

(2): If  $a > 0$ . For any  $s \in S$ ,  $s \leq \sup(S)$  and hence

$$as \leq a \cdot \sup(S).$$

Therefore,  $\sup(aS) \leq a \cdot \sup(S)$ . Similarly, for any  $as \in aS$ ,  $as \leq \sup(aS)$  and thus,  $s \leq \frac{1}{a} \sup(aS)$ . Therefore,  $\sup(S) \leq \frac{1}{a} \sup(aS)$ . Combines two inequalities, we are done.  $\square$

**Question 0.1.** *How to find  $\sqrt{2}$  numerically?*

Our usual procedure: trial and error using rational number!

Trial 1.  $1^2 = 1 < 2$ . ( $a_1 = 1$ )

Trial 2.  $1.2^2 = 1.44 < 2$  ( $a_2 = 1.2$ )

Trial 3.  $1.3^2 = 1.69 < 2$  ( $a_3 = 1.3$ )

Trial 4.  $1.4^2 = 1.96 < 2$  ( $a_4 = 1.4$ )

Trial 5.  $1.41^2 = 1.9881 < 2$  ( $a_5 = 1.41$ )

Trial  $n$ . etc....

This suggests approximation scheme of any real number using rational number.

**Theorem 0.1** (Density of rational number). *For any  $x < y$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can find  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x < q < y$ .*

*Remark 0.1.* So if we choose  $y = \sqrt{2}$  and  $x_m = \sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{m}$ , then we can find  $q_m \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x_m < q_m < y$ . In this way, as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ , we are approximating  $y = \sqrt{2}$  using rational number, this is roughly the approximation we did above.

*Proof.* If  $0 < x < y$ . Then the Archimedean properties of  $\mathbb{N}$  implies that we can find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < y - x$  or equivalently  $ny - nx > 1$ .

We claim that there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $ny > m > nx$ . Let  $S = \mathbb{N} \cap (nx, +\infty)$ . By well-ordering properties, there is  $m = \min S \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly,  $m > nx$ . If  $m \geq ny$ , then  $m - 1 \geq ny - 1 > nx$ . In other word,  $m - 1 \in S$  which is impossible as  $m = \min S$ . Hence,

$$nx < m < ny.$$

So that  $q = \frac{m}{n}$  is strictly in between  $x$  and  $y$ .

If instead  $x < 0 < y$ , then  $q = 0$  is our desired rational number.

If  $x < y < 0$ , then  $-x > -y > 0$ . By the first case, there is  $-q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $-x > -q > -y$  and equivalently,  $x < q < y$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We are done.  $\square$